



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.								
10/749,051	12/30/2003	Sanjeev Kumar	HOS-118	7381								
7590 OLSON & HIERL, LTD. 36th Floor 20 North Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606		01/22/2007	<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">LEE, GUNYOUNG T</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>2875</td><td></td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		LEE, GUNYOUNG T		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2875	
EXAMINER												
LEE, GUNYOUNG T												
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER											
2875												
			<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>01/22/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	01/22/2007	PAPER					
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE											
01/22/2007	PAPER											

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

10/749,051

Applicant(s)

KUMAR, SANJEEV

Examiner

Gunyoung T. Lee

Art Unit

2875

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☒ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: 2-8, 10 and 12.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.
13. ☐ Other: _____.


RENEE LUEBKE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's argument that the newly added amendment to claim 2 does not necessitate a new search (Remarks, page 1) is not persuasive, because the newly amended claim 1 presents a different lamp system having a NEW combination of a base including (specifically) a neck, a shade including (specifically) a lip sitting in the neck, a coupler (specifically) including a head portion for seating within the shade, and a cup unitary with the head portion. The embodiment disclosed in claim 6 is different from the lamp system of claim 1 and comprises a base and a shade which do not require particular structures (e.g. a neck on the base and a lip on the shade).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that one of ordinary skill would not have derived any motivation whatsoever from the teaching of Lin to make a coupler (6) of Lin unitary with a cup (5) (Remarks, page 2) is not persuasive, because unification of the coupler and the cup will result in a unit module that includes a shade placed permanently between the coupler and the cup. The shade of the unit module is still removably connected to a base by using a securing means (8). Forming/unifying plural components into a single module reduces the total number of parts of the device for handling and assembling. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the coupler and the cup of Lin into a single module, for the purpose of reducing the time and money for the handling and assembling processes.